

Attention is necessary for conscious awareness, a critical evaluation

Introduction

Attention and awareness are two related concepts that are sometimes used interchangeably, but they are not the same thing (Koch & Tsuchiya, 2007).

Awareness refers to the state of being conscious of something or someone. It is a broader concept than attention. Awareness can be both conscious or unconscious. It is a state of being present, receptive, and open to all thoughts, feelings, and experiences without focusing on a particular one (Chalmers, D. J. 1996).

Attention is a more specific and focused concept. Attention is the mechanism we use to select for further in-depth neuro processing. Attention refers to the cognitive process of selectively focusing on a particular stimulus or task while ignoring other stimuli. It is the ability to concentrate mental effort on a specific aspect of our experience and ignore other part (James, W. 1890).

That attention is necessary for conscious awareness is a widely accepted theory (Posner & Rothbart, 1998), i.e., when we attend to something, we become consciously aware of it. Perhaps more interestingly, the opposite statement could also be true. That is, while attention is necessary for conscious awareness, conscious awareness is also necessary for us to pay attention, perhaps even more so than the other way around.

Supporting the idea that awareness is also necessary for us to pay attention can be found in the work of Mack and Rock (1998). In their book "Inattentional Blindness," the authors discuss the relationship between attention and awareness, highlighting the importance of awareness for attentional processes. The study has however been criticised because the experiments conducted by Mack and Rock often involve artificial stimuli and tasks that may

not accurately represent real-world situations. As a result, critics argue that the ecological validity of their findings is limited (Neisser, 1979).

Furthermore, one only needs to think about the definition of awareness proposed by Henly and quoted by (Merikle, 1984); namely, that awareness is consciously seeing a stimulus, one doesn't necessarily need to pay attention.

The concept that awareness and attention are different has also been applied to visual attention and visual awareness demonstrated by Lamme in (Lamme, 2003). Some researchers however argue that the distinction between visual attention and visual awareness is not as clear-cut as Lamme suggests. They propose that both processes share overlapping neural mechanisms, making it difficult to separate them. For instance, Dehaene et al. (2006) propose the Global Neuronal Workspace model, which suggests that both attention and awareness use a common set of brain regions.

Attention Necessary for Conscious Awareness

The relationship between attention and conscious awareness is a subject of ongoing debate and investigation in psychology and neuroscience. The statement "Attention is necessary for conscious awareness" suggests that attention plays a critical role in the ability to be consciously aware of stimuli.

There is some evidence to suggest that attention is necessary for conscious awareness. One line of research has shown that attentional resources are required for perception and conscious awareness. For example, studies using the attentional blink paradigm (Shapiro et al., 1997) have demonstrated that when participants are presented with two stimuli in rapid succession, they are less likely to perceive the second stimulus if it occurs within a short time window following the first stimulus. This phenomenon, known as the attentional blink, suggests that attentional resources are necessary for conscious awareness of the second stimulus.

One criticism of this study, is that the attentional blink could be influenced by various confounding factors, such as the similarity between the target and distractor stimuli, task difficulty, and individual differences in processing speed (Dux & Marois, 2009). These factors might contribute to the attentional blink effect, making it difficult to isolate the specific mechanisms underlying the phenomenon.

However, there is also evidence that conscious awareness can occur without attention. For example, research on visual masking (Kouider & Dehaene, 2007) has shown that brief visual stimuli presented immediately after a masking stimulus can still be consciously perceived, even when participants are not aware of the masking stimulus itself. This suggests that conscious awareness can occur without attention, and that it may be possible to become consciously aware of stimuli without directing attention to them.

Critics argue however that visual masking paradigms often involve artificial stimuli and experimental conditions that do not resemble real-world situations. Consequently, the ecological validity of the findings is questioned, and it remains unclear how the observed effects translate to more naturalistic environments (Neisser, 1979).

One of the earliest studies supporting this theory was conducted by Cherry (Wood & Cowan, 1995), who found that participants could only attend to one message in a dichotic listening task, while the other message was ignored. This study demonstrated that attention is necessary for conscious awareness of a particular stimulus.

Another study supporting this theory was conducted by Posner (Posner et al., 1980), who found that the time required for participants to identify a target stimulus was reduced when they were cued to the location of the target before it appeared. This study demonstrated that attention can enhance conscious awareness of a particular stimulus.

Neuroimaging studies have also provided evidence for the role of attention in conscious awareness. For example, fMRI studies have shown that attentional processing can modulate

neural activity in regions associated with conscious awareness, such as the prefrontal cortex and parietal cortex (Bressler et al., 2008) These studies have demonstrated that attention plays an essential role in directing neural resources to relevant stimuli, thus enhancing conscious awareness of these stimuli.

There are some limitations to the evidence supporting the role of attention in conscious awareness. For example, there are cases where people can be aware of stimuli without attending to them. For instance, people can be aware of unexpected stimuli, such as a loud noise or a bright flash, even if they are not attending to them (Grossberg & Mingolla, 1985). This suggests that conscious awareness can occur without attention, at least in some cases.

Furthermore, there are cases where people can attend to stimuli without being consciously aware of them. For example, in a phenomenon called "inattention blindness," people can fail to notice a salient stimulus if their attention is focused on a different task (Simons & Chabris, 1999). This suggests that attention is not always sufficient for conscious awareness.

Conscious Awareness Necessary for Attention

We have also presented the argument that awareness is necessary for conscious attention. This suggests that having a certain level of awareness is a prerequisite for being able to direct conscious attention to a stimulus.

As previously defined, attention is the ability to selectively attend to a specific stimulus or set of stimuli while filtering out others. Studies have shown that conscious attention is strongly influenced by prior knowledge and expectations about the stimulus (Stein & Peelen, 2015). For example, if a participant is aware of the location or identity of a stimulus, they are more likely to selectively attend to that stimulus.

Additionally, research on inattention blindness has shown that people can fail to attend to stimuli that are outside their current attentional focus, even if those stimuli are highly salient

(Mack, 2003). This suggests that conscious attention is limited by the capacity to process and attend to stimuli.

However, there is also evidence to suggest that conscious attention can occur without awareness. Studies using subliminal priming have shown that even when participants are not consciously aware of a prime stimulus, it can still influence their attention and behaviour towards a subsequent target stimulus (Elgendi et al., 2018). This suggests that conscious attention can be influenced by processes that operate outside of conscious awareness.

Moreover, research on automatic attentional processes has demonstrated that certain types of attention, such as the ability to detect and respond to abrupt changes in the environment, can operate automatically and unconsciously (Fischler & Bloom, 1979). This suggests that conscious attention can be influenced by both conscious and unconscious processes.

In summary, the idea that attention is necessary for conscious awareness is a widely accepted theory in the field of cognitive psychology. The basic premise of this theory is that when we attend to something, we become consciously aware of it. This statement has been supported by a considerable amount of research, but there are also some limitations to the evidence.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the relationship between attention and conscious awareness is complex and multifaceted. While there is some evidence to suggest that attention is necessary for conscious awareness, there is also evidence that conscious awareness can occur without attention. Therefore, the statement "Attention is necessary for conscious awareness" should be considered in the context of the specific conditions and processes involved in the perception and processing of stimuli.

References

- Bressler, S. L., Tang, W., Sylvester, C. M., Shulman, G. L., & Corbetta, M. (2008). Top-Down Control of Human Visual Cortex by Frontal and Parietal Cortex in Anticipatory Visual Spatial Attention. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 28(40), 10056–10061. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1776-08.2008>
- Chica, A. B., Bartolomeo, P., & Lupiáñez, J. (2013). Two cognitive and neural systems for endogenous and exogenous spatial attention. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 237(1), 107–123. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BBR.2012.09.027>
- Elgendi, M., Kumar, P., Barbic, S., Howard, N., Abbott, D., & Cichocki, A. (2018). Subliminal Priming—State of the Art and Future Perspectives. *Behavioral Sciences* 2018, Vol. 8, Page 54, 8(6), 54. <https://doi.org/10.3390/BS8060054>
- Fischler, I., & Bloom, P. A. (1979). Automatic and attentional processes in the effects of sentence contexts on word recognition. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 18(1), 1–20. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5371\(79\)90534-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5371(79)90534-6)
- Grossberg, S., & Mingolla, E. (1985). Neural Dynamics of Form Perception. Boundary Completion, Illusory Figures, and Neon Color Spreading. *Psychological Review*, 92(2), 173–211. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.92.2.173>
- Koch, C., & Tsuchiya, N. (2007). Attention and consciousness: two distinct brain processes. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 11(1), 16–22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TICS.2006.10.012>
- Kouider, S., & Dehaene, S. (2007). Levels of processing during non-conscious perception: a critical review of visual masking. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 362(1481), 857–875. <https://doi.org/10.1098/RSTB.2007.2093>
- Lamme, V. A. F. (2003). Why visual attention and awareness are different. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 7(1), 12–18. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613\(02\)00013-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613(02)00013-X)
- Mack, A. (2003). Inattentional Blindness. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8721.01256>, 12(5), 180–184. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8721.01256>
- Merikle, P. M. (1984). Toward a definition of awareness. *Bulletin of the Psychonomic Society*, 22(5), 449–450. <https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03333874/METRICS>
- Posner, M. I., & Rothbart, M. K. (1998). Attention, selfregulation and consciousness. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, 353(1377), 1915–1927. <https://doi.org/10.1098/RSTB.1998.0344>
- Posner, M. I., Snyder, C. R., & Davidson, B. J. (1980). Attention and the detection of signals. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 109(2), 160–174. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0096-3445.109.2.160>
- Shapiro, K. L., Arnell, K. M., & Raymond, J. E. (1997). The attentional blink. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 1(8), 291–296. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613\(97\)01094-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613(97)01094-2)
- Simons, D. J., & Chabris, C. F. (1999). Gorillas in our midst: Sustained inattention blindness for dynamic events. *Perception*, 28(9), 1059–1074. <https://doi.org/10.1068/P281059>

- Stein, T., & Peelen, M. V. (2015). Content-specific expectations enhance stimulus detectability by increasing perceptual sensitivity. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 144(6), 1089–1104. <https://doi.org/10.1037/XGE0000109>
- Tamietto, M., & De Gelder, B. (2010). Neural bases of the non-conscious perception of emotional signals. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 2010 11:10, 11(10), 697–709. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2889>
- Wood, N. L., & Cowan, N. (1995). The Cocktail Party Phenomenon Revisited: Attention and Memory in the Classic Selective Listening Procedure of Cherry (1953). *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 124(3), 243–262. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0096-3445.124.3.243>